arXiv:cond-mat/9310060v1 26 Oct 1993

ENERGY VERSUS MAGNETIC-FIELD DIAGRAM OF THE SPIN-1 HALDANE SYSTEM WITH AN IMPURITY

M. KABURAGI and T. TONEGAWA*

Faculty of Cross-Cultural Studies, Kobe University,
Tsurukabuto, Nada, Kobe 657, Japan
*Department of Physics, Kobe University, Rokkodai, Kobe 657, Japan

ABSTRACT

Energy versus magnetic-field diagram of the spin-1 Haldane system with an impurity bond is studied in terms of spin-1/2 degree of freedom at the sites neighboring the impurity bond by means of analytical method. We examine the equivalence between the realistic Hamiltonian and the phenomenological Hamiltonian which is composed two spin-1/2 spins representing the spin-1/2 degree of freedom. It is proved that when the strength of the impurity bond is sufficiently weak, the two Hamiltonians are equivalent to each other, as far as the energies of the low-lying states are concerned. We determine the correspondence between the interaction constants in the phenomenological Hamiltonian and those in the realistic Hamiltonian.

The impurity effects on the quantum antiferromagnetic chain have been subject of a large number of theoretical and experimental studies. One of the recent exciting topics of this subject is the edge and/or impurity effects on the spin-1 antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chain which is the simplest system realizing Haldane's conjecture[1] of the difference between integer-spin and half-integer-spin antiferromagnetic Heisenberg chains. Kennedy[2] found that the open chain has a fourfold degenerate ground state composed of a singlet and a triplet which we call the Kennedy triplet, in contrast to a unique singlet ground state of the periodic chain[3]. The fourfold degeneracy of the ground state, which was originally found in the so-called AKLT model[3] with open boundary conditions, is considered to reflect the hidden $Z_2 \times Z_2$ symmetry in the open chain[4]. The hidden symmetry is attributed to the spin-1/2 degree of freedoms at edges of the chain. Recently the present authors and Harada[5] investigated theoretically the the impurity-bond effect on the ground state and low-lying excited states of the chain in terms of domain-wall excitations to interpolate between the open-chain and the periodic-chain cases. They showed that the impurity bond brings about a massive triplet mode in the Haldane gap and that the triplet state comprises three of the four ground states of the open chain. Miyashita and Yamamoto[6] perform the Monte Carlo analysis of the open chain to show that the magnetic moments localized around the edges for the Kennedy triplet decay exponentially with the decay constant which is about 6 in lattice spacing. On the experimental side, on the other hand, Kikuchi *et al.*[7] investigated the Zn impurity effects on the magnetization process of the spin-1 Haldane system NiC_2O_42DMIz. Hagiwara *et al.*[8] performed the ESR experiment on $Ni(C_2H_8N_2)_2NO_2(ClO_4)$, abbreviated NENP, containing a small amount of spin-1/2 Cu^{2+} impurities and gave experimental evidence for the existence of the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the sites neighboring the impurity spin. They analyzed successfully their experimental results by using the phenomenological Hamiltonian composed of three spin-1/2 spins with the anisotropic exchange interactions. The present authors very recently proved the equivalence between the phenomenological Hamiltonian and a more realistic Hamiltonian and gave a clear interpretation of the anisotropy of the exchange interaction[9].

The purpose of this article is to investigate the energy versus magnetic-field diagrams of the spin-1 Haldane system with an impurity bond in terms of the spin-1/2 degree of freedom by means of analytical method. We express the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}$ of the chain with an impurity bond under the magnetic field as sum of $\mathcal{H}_0$, $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\rm mf}$ as

$$\mathcal{H} = \mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}' + \mathcal{H}_{\rm mf} \ , \tag{1a}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_0 = \sum_{\ell=1}^{N-1} h_{\ell,\ell+1} + Jd\sum_{\ell=1}^{N} \big(S_\ell^z\big)^2 \ , \tag{1b}$$

$$\mathcal{H}' = \kappa\, h_{N,1} \ , \tag{1c}$$

$$h_{\ell,\ell'} = J\big(S_\ell^x S_{\ell'}^x + S_\ell^y S_{\ell'}^y + \lambda S_\ell^z S_{\ell'}^z\big) \qquad (J,\ \lambda > 0) \ , \tag{1d}$$

$$\mathcal{H}_{\rm mf} = -g(H_x S_{\rm total}^x + H_z S_{\rm total}^z) \ , \tag{1e}$$

where $\vec{S}_\ell$ ($\ell = 1,\ 2,\ \cdots,\ N$) is the spin-1 operator; $S_{\rm total}^z \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^N S_\ell^z$ and $S_{\rm total}^x \equiv \sum_{\ell=1}^N S_\ell^x$; g is the g-factor; λ is the parameter which represents the anisotropy of the exchange; κ represents the strength of the impurity bond, with $\kappa=0$ and $\kappa=1$ corresponding, respectively, to the open chain and the periodic chain. Thus, $\mathcal{H}_0$, $\mathcal{H}'$ and $\mathcal{H}_{\rm mf}$ represent, respectively, the Hamiltonian for the coupling through the host bonds, that for the coupling through the impurity bond, and that for the Zeeman energy.

In ref. 5, we calculated the energies of the low-lying states of $\mathcal{H}_0+\mathcal{H}'$ by the variational method, assuming that $|\kappa|\ll 1$, that is, treating $\mathcal{H}'$ as a small perturbation. Using this method we calculate the energies of the low-lying states of $\mathcal{H}$. In the Haldane region, to which we confine ourselves hereafter, the ground state of the unperturbed Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}_0$ is fourfold degenerate[2,3]. Since the fourfold degeneracy reflects the existence of the spin-1/2 degree of freedom at the neighboring sites of the impurity bond, we may expect, as in the previous paper[9], that the energies of the low-lying states of the present system can be calculated from the phenomenological Hamiltonian given as

$$\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe} = \bar{J}\big(s_1^x s_2^x + s_1^y s_2^y + \bar{\lambda} s_1^z s_2^z\big) - H_{\rm x}\bar{g}_{\rm x}\big(s_1^x + s_2^x\big) - H_{\rm z}\bar{g}_{\rm z}\big(s_1^z + s_2^z\big), \quad (2)$$

where $\vec{s}_1$ and $\vec{s}_2$ are the spin operators which represent the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at the sites neighboring the impurity; $\bar{J}$ is the effective exchange constant; $\bar{\lambda}$ is the parameter which represents the anisotropy of the effective exchange; $\bar{g}_{\rm x}$ and $\bar{g}_{\rm z}$ are the effective g-factors. By comparing the energy expressions for $\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe}$ with those for $\mathcal{H}$, we will show that, when $|\kappa| << 1$, $\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}$, as far as the energies of the low-lying states are concerned.

The variational method discussed in ref. 5, according to which the Haldane region is given by $4 > d > 2\lambda - 4$, leads to the following fourfold ground-state wave functions Φ and $\Phi_N^{(\tau)}$ ($\tau = +,\ 0,\ -$) expressed in the matrix-product form[10,11]. The function Φ describes the state with no domain wall and is given by

$$\Phi = {\rm Trace}\big[\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_{N-1}\phi_N\big]\ , \quad (3)$$

$$\phi_\ell = \cos(\tilde{\theta})\,\zeta_\ell\,\sigma_z + \frac{\sin(\tilde{\theta})}{\sqrt{2}}\big(\alpha_\ell\,\sigma_+ + \beta_\ell\,\sigma_-\big)\ , \quad (4)$$

where α_ℓ, ζ_ℓ, and β_ℓ are the spin states at the ℓ-th site, which correspond, respectively, to $S^z_\ell = 1$, 0, and -1, and $\sigma_\pm\left[= (\sigma_x \pm i\sigma_y)/\sqrt{2}\,\right]$, σ_x, σ_y, and σ_z are the Pauli matrices. The parameter $\tilde{\theta}$ is determined from the equation,

$$\cos(2\tilde{\theta}) = \frac{d-\lambda}{4-\lambda} \ . \tag{5}$$

The function $\Phi_N^{(\tau)}$ describes the states with a domain wall and is expressed in terms of ϕ_ℓ and the wall operator w as

$$\Phi_N^{(\tau)} = \mathrm{Trace}\left[\,\phi_1\phi_2\cdots\phi_{N-1}\phi_N\, w\right] \ , \tag{6}$$

where $w = -\sigma_-$ for $\tau = +$, $w = \sigma_z$ for $\tau = 0$, and $w = \sigma_+$ for $\tau = -$. It is noted that, when $\lambda = 1$ and $d = 0$, Φ represents the singlet state, and $\Phi_N^{(+)}$, $\Phi_N^{(0)}$, and $\Phi_N^{(-)}$ represent, respectively, the triplet (Kennedy triplet) states with $S^z_{\mathrm{total}} = 1$, 0, and -1. It should be noted that a Neél-type configuration of magnetic moment near the edges is accompanied by the state $\Phi_N^{(\tau)}$. The energy expectation value $E_\mathrm{s}(\kappa)$ ($E_\mathrm{t}^{(\tau)}$) of $\mathcal{H}_0 + \mathcal{H}'$ with respect to the state Φ ($\Phi_N^{(\tau)}$) is given as

$$E_\mathrm{s}(\kappa) = -(N-1+\kappa)J\Big\{\Big(\frac{4-d}{4-\lambda}\Big)\Big(1+\frac{d}{4}\Big)\Big\} + NJd\frac{(4-d)}{2(4-\lambda)} \ . \tag{7}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mathrm{t}^{(0)} &\equiv E_\mathrm{s}(\kappa) + \Delta_0(\kappa) \\ &= E_\mathrm{s}(\kappa) + \kappa J\left\{\frac{2(4-d)(4-2\lambda+d)}{(4-\lambda)^2}\right\} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} E_\mathrm{t}^{(\pm)} &\equiv E_\mathrm{s}(\kappa) + \Delta_1(\kappa) \\ &= E_\mathrm{s}(\kappa) + \kappa J\left\{\frac{(4-d)(8+2d-\lambda d)}{2(4-\lambda)^2}\right\} \ . \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

We now calculate the energy versus magnetic field diagram under the same assumption $|\kappa| \ll 1$ as previous. By using expression of the matrix elements given by

$$\langle \Phi | S^z_{\mathrm{tot}} | \Phi \rangle = \langle \Phi^{(0)}_N | S^z_{\mathrm{tot}} | \Phi^{(0)}_N \rangle = 0 \ , \tag{10a}$$

$$\langle \Phi^{(+)}_N | S^z_{\mathrm{tot}} | \Phi^{(+)}_N \rangle = -\langle \Phi^{(-)}_N | S^z_{\mathrm{tot}} | \Phi^{(-)}_N \rangle = 1 \ , \tag{10b}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \langle \Phi^{(+)}_N | S^x_{\mathrm{total}} | \Phi^{(0)}_N \rangle = \langle \Phi^{(-)}_N | S^x_{\mathrm{total}} | \Phi^{(0)}_N \rangle = G \\ = \sin 2\tilde{\theta}/(1+\cos^2\tilde{\theta}) = 2\frac{\sqrt{(4-d)(4-2\lambda+d)}}{(12+d-4\lambda)} \ , \end{aligned} \tag{10c}$$

$$\langle \Phi | S^x_{\mathrm{total}} | \Phi^{(+)}_N \rangle = \langle \Phi | S^x_{\mathrm{total}} | \Phi^{(0)}_N \rangle = \langle \Phi | S^x_{\mathrm{total}} | \Phi^{(-)}_N \rangle = 0 \ , \tag{10d}$$

$$\langle \Phi^{(+)}_N | S^x_{\mathrm{total}} | \Phi^{(-)}_N \rangle = 0 \ , \tag{10e}$$

we obtain the secular equation for the energy difference ΔE (the energy measured from $E_{\mathrm{s}}(\kappa)$) as

$$\begin{aligned} \Delta E \Big[\big[\Delta_0(\kappa) - \Delta E\big] \big[\big\{\Delta_1(\kappa) - \Delta E\big\}^2 - (gH_z)^2 \big] \\ -2(GgH_x)^2 \big\{\Delta_1(\kappa) - \Delta E\big\} \Big] = 0 \ . \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

The energy difference ΔE versus magnetic field H_x or H_z diagram within this approximation can be constructed by solving this equation [12].

Let us examine the relation between $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{phe}}$ and $\mathcal{H}$. The four energy eigenvalues $\bar{E}_{\mathrm{s}}$ and $\bar{E}^{(\tau)}_{\mathrm{t}}$ ($\tau = 0, \pm$) of $\mathcal{H}^{\mathrm{phe}}$ for $H_{\mathrm{x}} = 0$ and $H_{\mathrm{z}} = 0$ are calculated to be

$$\bar{E}_{\mathrm{s}} \qquad = -\frac{\bar{J}}{4}(2+\bar{\lambda}) \ , \tag{12}$$

$$\bar{E}^{(0)}_{\mathrm{t}} \equiv \bar{E}_{\mathrm{s}} + \bar{\Delta}_0 = \bar{E}_{\mathrm{s}} + \bar{J} \ , \tag{13}$$

$$\bar{E}^{(\pm)}_{\mathrm{t}} \equiv \bar{E}_{\mathrm{s}} + \bar{\Delta}_1 = \bar{E}_{\mathrm{s}} + \frac{\bar{J}}{2}(1+\bar{\lambda}) \ , \tag{14}$$

where the subscripts s, t and the superscript τ have the same meaning as those of eqs. (7) to (9) but for the two-spin-1/2 system. The equation for the energy difference $\Delta\bar{E}$ (the energy measured from $\bar{E}_{\rm s}$) is easily obtained as

$$\Delta\bar{E}\Big[[\bar{\Delta}_0-\Delta E]\,[\{\bar{\Delta}_1-\Delta\bar{E}\}^2-(\bar{g}_zH_z)^2] \\ -2(\bar{g}_xH_x)^2\{\bar{\Delta}_1-\Delta\bar{E}\}\Big]=0\ . \tag{15}$$

Comparing eqs. (12)-(14) and (15) with eqs. (7)-(9) and (11), we can determine the correspondence between the interaction constants in $\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe}$ and those in $\mathcal{H}$. The results are

$$\bar{J}\leftrightarrow\kappa J\,\frac{2(4-d)(4-2\lambda+d)}{(4-\lambda)^2}\ , \tag{16}$$

$$\bar{\lambda}\leftrightarrow\frac{\lambda(4-d)}{2(4-2\lambda+d)}\ . \tag{17}$$

$$\bar{g}_{\rm z}\leftrightarrow g \tag{18}$$

$$\bar{g}_{\rm x}\leftrightarrow 2g\frac{\sqrt{2(4-d)(4-2\lambda+d)}}{(12+d-4\lambda)} \tag{19}$$

We have thus shown that, $\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe}$ is equivalent to $\mathcal{H}$ when $|\kappa|\ll 1$, as far as the energies of the low-lying excited states are concerned. Equations (17)-(19) give a clear explanation for the origin of the anisotropy in $\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe}$; as seen from eqs. (17)-(19), in the case of $\lambda=\lambda'=1$ the uniaxial anisotropy d in $\mathcal{H}$ produces the anisotropy in $\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe}$. This result confirms again the concept of the spin-1/2 degrees of freedom at edges of the open spin-1 chain. The use of $\mathcal{H}^{\rm phe}$ for the semi-quantitative analysis of the experimental results is also justified.

Finally we discuss the solutions of eq. (11). The equation has a trivial solution

$$\Delta E=\Delta E_s=0. \tag{20}$$

The three solutions other than this trivial solution , which we denote as $\Delta E_t^{(\tau)}(\kappa)$ $(\tau = 0, \pm)$, are obtained for the case of $H_x = 0$ and $H_z = H > 0$ as

$$\Delta E_t^{(0)}(\kappa) = \Delta_0(\kappa) \ , \tag{21}$$

$$\Delta E_t^{(\tau)}(\kappa) = \Delta_1(\kappa) + \tau g_{\mathrm{h}} H_z \ , \qquad (\tau = \pm) \ . \tag{22}$$

Those for the case of $H_x > 0$ and $H_z = 0$ are obtained as

$$\Delta E_t^{(0)}(\kappa) = \Delta_1(\kappa) \ , \tag{23}$$

$$\Delta E_t^{(\tau)}(\kappa) = \frac{\Delta_1(\kappa) + \Delta_0(\kappa)}{2} + \tau \sqrt{\Big(\frac{\Delta_1(\kappa) - \Delta_0(\kappa)}{2}\Big)^2 + (GgH_x)^2} \qquad (\tau = \pm). \tag{24}$$

In Fig. 1 we show the ΔE versus magnetic-field diagram calculated from either eqs. (21)-(22), eqs. (23)-(24), or eq. (11) for the case of $d > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$. It should be noted that we can calculate the magnetic-field or temperature dependence of the Neél-type configuration of magnetic moment around impurity bond at low temperatures and that the results obtained in the present paper are in qualitatively good agreement with those obtained by the numerical diagonalization. Details of the calculations will be published in the near future.

The present work has been supported in part by a Grant-in-Aid for Scientific Research on Priority Areas, “Computational Physics as a New Frontier in Condensed Matter Research”, from the Ministry of Education, Science and Culture. One of the authors (M. K.) gratefully acknowledges the support of Fujitsu Limited.

References

1] F. D. M. Haldane: Phys. Lett. **93A** (1983) 464; Phys. Rev. Lett. **50** (1983) 1153.

2] T. Kennedy: J. Phys. Condens. Matter **2** (1990) 5737.

3] I. Affleck, T. Kennedy, E. H. Lieb and H. Tasaki: Phys. Rev. Lett. **59** (1987) 799; Commun. Math. Phys. **115** (1988) 477.

4] T. Kennedy and H. Tasaki: Commun. Math. Phys. **147** (1992) 431.

5] M. Kaburagi, T. Tonegawa and I. Harada: J. Phys. Soc. Jpn. **62** (1993) 1848.

6] S. Miyashita and S. Yamamoto: Phys. Rev. B **48** (1993) 913.

7] H. Kikuchi, Y. Ajiro, T. Goto, H. Aruga Katori and H. Nagasawa: these proceedings

8] M. Hagiwara, K. Katsumata, I. Affleck, B. I. Halperin and J. P. Renard: Phys. Rev. Lett. **65** (1990) 3181,
M. Hagiwara: Dr. Thesis, Graduate School of Science, Osaka University, Toyonaka, Osaka, 1992.

9] M. Kaburagi and T. Tonegawa: Submitted to J. Phys. Soc. Jpn.

10] A. Klümper, A. Schadschneider and J. Zittartz: J. Phys. A **24** (1991) L955; Z. Phys. B **87** (1992) 281.

11] M. Fannes, B. Nachtergaele and R. F. Werner: Europhys. Lett. **10** (1989) 633; Commun. Math. Phys. **144** (1992) 443.

12] The equations (11) and (12) in ref. 5 should be corrected as those obtained by putting $g=1$ in eqs.(11) and (10c).

Figure Caption

Fig. 1. Schematic energy difference ΔE (the energy measured from $E_{\rm s}$) versus magnetic-field diagram for the case of $d > 0$ and $\kappa > 0$ obtained by the present analysis; (a) for $H_x = 0$ and $H_z = H > 0$, (b) for $H_x = H >$ and $H_z = 0$, (c) for $H_x = H_z = \frac{H}{\sqrt{2}} > 0$.